

# Notice of Meeting



Scan here to access the public documents for this meeting

## Eastern Area Planning Committee Wednesday 5 September 2018 at 6.30pm in the Calcot Centre, Highview (off Royal Avenue), Calcot

### Members Interests

Note: If you consider you may have an interest in any Planning Application included on this agenda then please seek early advice from the appropriate officers.

Date of despatch of Agenda: Tuesday, 28 August 2018

### FURTHER INFORMATION FOR MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

**Note:** The Council broadcasts some of its meetings on the internet, known as webcasting. If this meeting is webcast, please note that any speakers addressing this meeting could be filmed. If you are speaking at a meeting and do not wish to be filmed, please notify the Chairman before the meeting takes place. Please note however that you will be audio-recorded. Those taking part in Public Speaking are reminded that speakers in each representation category are grouped and each group will have a maximum of 5 minutes to present its case.

Plans relating to the Planning Applications to be considered at the meeting can be viewed in the Calcot Centre between 5.30pm and 6.30pm on the day of the meeting.

No new information may be produced to Committee on the night (this does not prevent applicants or objectors raising new points verbally). If objectors or applicants wish to introduce new additional material they must provide such material to planning officers at least 5 clear working days before the meeting (in line with the Local Authorities (Access to Meetings and Documents) (Period of Notice) (England) Order 2002).

For further information about this Agenda, or to inspect any background documents referred to in Part I reports, please contact the Planning Team on (01635) 519148

Email: [planapps@westberks.gov.uk](mailto:planapps@westberks.gov.uk)

Further information, Planning Applications and Minutes are also available on the Council's website at [www.westberks.gov.uk](http://www.westberks.gov.uk)



**Agenda - Eastern Area Planning Committee to be held on Wednesday, 5 September 2018**  
*(continued)*

Any queries relating to the Committee should be directed to Stephen Chard / Charlene Hurd / Jessica Bailiss on (01635) 519462/519695/503124 Email: [stephen.chard@westberks.gov.uk](mailto:stephen.chard@westberks.gov.uk) / [charlene.hurd@westberks.gov.uk](mailto:charlene.hurd@westberks.gov.uk) / [jessica.bailiss@westberks.gov.uk](mailto:jessica.bailiss@westberks.gov.uk)



**Agenda - Eastern Area Planning Committee to be held on Wednesday, 5 September 2018**  
(continued)

**To:** Councillors Peter Argyle, Pamela Bale, Graham Bridgman, Keith Chopping, Richard Crumly, Marigold Jaques, Alan Law (Vice-Chairman), Alan Macro, Tim Metcalfe, Graham Pask (Chairman), Richard Somner and Emma Webster

**Substitutes:** Councillors Rob Denton-Powell, Lee Dillon, Sheila Ellison, Tony Linden and Mollie Lock

---

# Agenda

## Part I

**Page No.**

- (1) **Application No. & Parish: 18/01516/HOUSE - Grimms Dyke, Aldworth, Reading** 5 - 18
- Proposal:** Erection of garden room with quiet room and WC/shower to rear of existing dwelling
- Location:** Grimms Dyke, Aldworth, Reading, Berkshire, RG8 9RY
- Applicant:** Mr and Mrs Shinkwin
- Recommendation:** To **DELEGATE** to the Head of Development and Planning to **APPROVE PLANNING PERMISSION** for reasons given below (Section 8.1).

### Background Papers

- (a) The West Berkshire Core Strategy 2006-2026.
- (b) The West Berkshire District Local Plan (Saved Policies September 2007), the Replacement Minerals Local Plan for Berkshire, the Waste Local Plan for Berkshire and relevant Supplementary Planning Guidance and Documents.
- (c) Any previous planning applications for the site, together with correspondence and report(s) on those applications.
- (d) The case file for the current application comprising plans, application forms, correspondence and case officer's notes.
- (e) The Human Rights Act.

Andy Day  
Head of Strategic Support

If you require this information in a different format or translation, please contact Moira Fraser on telephone (01635) 519045.

This page is intentionally left blank

## EASTERN AREA PLANNING COMMITTEE ON 5 SEPTEMBER 2018

### UPDATE REPORT

**Item No:** (1)                      **Application No:** 18/01516/HOUSE                      **Page No.** 39-52

**Site:** Grimms Dyke, Aldworth

---

**Planning Officer Presenting:** Mr Bob Dray

**Member Presenting:** N/A

---

**Parish Council Representative speaking:** N/A

**Objector(s) speaking:** Mrs Tracey Godsmark  
Mr Clive Sturgess  
Mr David Sheppard

**Supporter(s) speaking:** N/A

**Applicant/Agent speaking:** Mr Shinkwin

**Ward Member(s):** Councillor Alan Law

#### 1. Additional Consultation Responses and Representations

##### Archaeology

Following queries at the committee site visit, the Council's Archaeological Officer has provided the following consultation response:

*Although the house is named Grim's (or Grimms) Dyke, the linear earthwork with the name Grim's Ditch is not within the site, and the proposed house extension is more than 100m away from the scheduled parts of the monument. Grim's Ditch is an enigmatic feature, suggested to be a territorial boundary rather than anything defensive; possibly near the De La Beche farm, parts of it may have formed part of a deer park. The De La Beche site itself is of historical interest, being a probable*

*medieval manor as well as a historic farmstead, but 'Grimms Dyke' is a relatively recent building, on land which was used as a poultry farm from the later 20<sup>th</sup> century (the farm is shown on the 1973 5<sup>th</sup> Epoch OS mapping but not before, when the adjacent house now named Foxborough was called 'Grimsdyke'). Were a new house to be proposed now on land close to a scheduled monument we would probably request a field evaluation, but as this land has already been disturbed by the poultry farm and by the construction of the later Grimms Dyke, I do not believe this would be worthwhile. I think it is unlikely that the erection of the garden/quiet room will have a major impact on any features of archaeological significance.*

In light of the above consultation response, and having regard to Core Strategy Policy CS19 and the heritage guidance within the NPPF, it not considered necessary to require any further archaeological assessment of the site.

### Public Representations

Several items of correspondence have been received since the publication of the committee report. Interested parties have raised the following points:

1. It has been questioned whether Policy C6 of the HSA DPD is relevant to the proposed development. [Officer note: Policy C6 is considered relevant to the proposed development]
2. It must be made clear to the Committee that the proposed building is detached from the main house; it is not an attached extension.
3. It has been suggested that the intended use of the proposed building is not for purposes ancillary/incidental to the residential use of Grimms Dyke.
4. Clarification has been received that the loss of mature vegetation in Spring 2015 constituted a breach of Condition 7 of Application 153378, rather than Condition 2 of 15/02915/HOUSE as stated in paragraph 6.5.10 of the committee report.

## **2. Appeal Decision (Application 16/01049/HOUSE)**

Following a request at the committee site visit, copies of the appeal decision and plans for the above refused application are attached for information.

## **3. Use of outbuilding**

It has been alleged that the outbuilding may be put to use for purposes which are not ancillary/incidental to the residential use of Grimms Dyke. Condition 4 of the recommendation addresses this concern.

## **4. Landscaping**

Condition 7 of Application 153378 provides the following restriction on the application site:

*The existing trees and shrubs on the site shall not be lopped, topped, felled, lifted, removed or disturbed in any way without the prior written permission in writing of the Local Planning Authority.*

A significant amount of mature vegetation was removed from the application site in spring 2015 without permission. This constituted a breach of Condition 7. This matter was investigated at the time without establishing the need for formal action. It is acknowledged that a new boundary hedge and a cordon of beech trees have already been planted.

However, the proposed level of landscaping indicated on the Block Plan is considered to go beyond what is necessary to simply mitigate the impact of the proposed development, and therefore enhances the existing situation in accordance with Core Strategy Policy CS14. This in turn will help mitigate the criticised removal of mature vegetation in recent years.

The landscaping shown on the Block Plan is insufficiently detailed to secure an enforceable landscaping scheme. For this reason, condition 7 of the recommendation requires the prior approval of a detailed landscaping scheme, complete with planting schedules and detailed plans before any development takes place. Subject to this condition, it is considered that the proposed development would respect and enhance the character and appearance of the area, and incidentally help ameliorate the criticised removal of mature vegetation.

This page is intentionally left blank



## Appeal Decision

Site visit made on 22 November 2016

by **Jacqueline Wilkinson Reg. Architect IHBC**

an Inspector appointed by the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government

Decision date: 6 December 2016

---

**Appeal Ref: APP/W0340/D/16/3155053**

**Grimms Dyke, Aldworth, Reading, RG8 9RY**

- The appeal is made under section 78 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 against a refusal to grant planning permission.
  - The appeal is made by Mr and Mrs John and Margaret Shinkwin against the decision of West Berkshire Council.
  - The application Ref 16/01049 dated 18 April 2016, was refused by notice dated 21 June 2016.
  - The development proposed is erection of ancillary garden room.
- 

### Decision

1. The appeal is dismissed.

### Main Issue

2. The main issue is the effect on the natural beauty of the North Wessex Downs Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) and the character and appearance of the area.

### Reasons

#### *Natural beauty of AONB, character and appearance*

3. Grimms Dyke is located in a distinctly rural location in the North Wessex Downs. It is part of an isolated small group of dwellings which, with the exception of the large house, Foxborough, to the south, are vernacular in character. The grounds of this recently built large neo-Palladian replacement dwelling are spacious and there is also a three car garage with the architectural character of a coach house in the foreground of the house, set at a lower level. The site is served by a long rising circular driveway accessed through two separate entrances, one of which has a set of imposing brick piers and walls under construction. The site was previously an agricultural enterprise with a collection of chicken sheds and other outbuildings, now all removed.
4. The main house stands high above road level and holds an imposing position in the landscape, which perfectly encapsulates the "*Downland with woodland*" landscape category<sup>1</sup> of this part of the Downs. It comes into a full angled view when approaching along the road from the northwest and is seen against a backdrop of extensive beech woodlands, with rolling chalk fields to the north west.

---

<sup>1</sup> North Wessex Downs Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty Management Plan

---

5. The proposed garden building would be set at the same level as the patio of the main house and would be 7.5m from the side wall. It would be similar in size and design to the garage, but would be seen above it, at the higher level. As checked on site, it would project well beyond the line of the rear of the garage, so it would be clearly visible from the road. Partly due to its size and projecting position, and partly due to its solid design approach, it would significantly add to the bulk of the existing buildings and would adversely increase their impact on this attractive open landscape setting.
6. Whilst it is evident that mature vegetation has recently been removed, a new boundary hedge has recently been planted, along with a cordon of beech trees at the lower level. That said, the differences in level are so great that even if the new hedge was grown to the height of the existing tall hedge along the boundary with the field, it would not be likely to adequately screen the proposed garden building. Moreover, the use of landscaping to screen a development cannot be relied upon in the long term, even through the use of a condition, as it can be altered or die.
7. The large chicken sheds on the site before were probably no things of beauty, but they were part of the rural scene. That said, they have now been removed as part of the overall proposal to replace the former dwelling, granted planning permission in 1999. Whilst there was a detached garage at a higher level behind the house, this has also been removed and replaced by the garage which has been erected to the foreground of the house. This appeal must therefore be assessed on the basis of the current relationships of the buildings on the appeal site. The adjacent properties have detached outbuildings, but I have assessed this appeal on the basis of the impact of this specific proposal, in the light of the current development plan policies, as I am required to do.
8. I therefore conclude that the proposed garden building, because of its size, location and design, would harm the natural landscape beauty of the AONB and would be at odds with the distinctive landscape character of the area.
9. This would be contrary to the aims of the West Berkshire Core Strategy, July 2012, ADP policy 5 *North Wessex Downs Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty*. This policy sets out the requirement that in recognition of the national landscape designation, development will be required to conserve and enhance the local distinctiveness, sense of place and setting of the AONB whilst preserving the strong sense of remoteness, tranquillity and dark skies. Policies CS14 *Design principles* and CS19 *Historic environment and landscape character* both express intentions to protect the character and appearances of areas. These aims are also taken forward in Policy C6 of the emerging Housing Site Allocations Development Plan Document, which is still under examination. However, as it reflects the requirements of the Framework this policy can be given some weight.
10. Saved policy ENV 24 of the West Berkshire District Local Plan 2007, accepts in principle the construction of ancillary buildings within the curtilage of an existing dwelling, subject to criteria, one of which is that the impact of the proposed development, taken together with the existing buildings, would not be materially greater or more harmful than that of the existing buildings on the rural character of the area. The proposal would not satisfy this criterion.

*Reason for refusal 2*

11. The Council is concerned that the proposed building could be used as a separate dwelling and that a condition would not prevent this. The second reason for refusal is dependent on the outcome of the first and the third reasons (the assessment of which I have combined), so as it would make no difference to the outcome of this appeal, I do not need to address this issue.

*Discussion*

12. The appellants have stated that the building is needed for their disabled son. They point out that the Council's Supplementary Planning Guidance *House Extensions 2004* (Section 8) states that if an extension is required for a disabled member of the family there may be special requirements to consider and exceptions to the normal rules may be appropriate. The appellants explain that their son has an acute medical condition and in particular he is highly sensitive to noise and needs a quiet place where he can recuperate away from the noise and activities of family life.
13. The appellants have considered building an extension, but have concluded that this would not satisfy their son's needs, stating that the location of drains and windows makes this option impractical. However, I have no information before me as to why it would not be possible to provide an acoustically insulated room within the house. The quiet room would only be a small part of the proposed garden room, so the specific needs of their son could be satisfied by a much smaller building. A fresh design approach would be likely to reduce the visual impact on the wider landscape. I cannot therefore conclude that there are no options at all for an alternative scheme which has a lesser impact whilst fully meeting the needs of their son.
14. The appeal scheme is a third attempt to satisfy the concerns of the Council and I note the amendments, changes to siting and the offer to change the internal layout that have been made in an effort to find a satisfactory solution. However, for the reasons I have set out above, I conclude that this scheme would harm the natural beauty of the AONB and would be at odds with the landscape character of the area.

**Conclusions**


15. Paragraph 115 of the Framework requires me to give great weight to the conservation of the landscape and scenic beauty of the AONB. I am satisfied that the special needs of the appellants' disabled son would be able to be fully met using a fresh approach to the size and design of the building. I do not therefore find that these needs would outweigh the harm I have identified to the natural beauty of the AONB and the rural landscape character of the area.
16. For the reasons given above I conclude that the appeal should be dismissed.

*Jacqueline Wilkinson*

INSPECTOR

This page is intentionally left blank

REV:	DATE:	AMENDMENTS:	DRAWN:	CHECKED:
#	XX.XX.XX		XX	XX



CLIENT:  
**Mr & Mrs Shinkwin**

PROJECT:  
**Grimms Dyke**

DRAWING:  
**Proposed Block Plan**

SCALE: **1:500 @ A3** DWG NO: **2011/P02**

DATE: **April '16** REV: **#**




Grosvenor Court, Winchester Road, Ampfield, Winchester, Hants. SO51 9BD  
Tel: 01794 368 698  
2 Old Bath Road, Newbury, Berks. RG14 1QL  
Tel: 01635 40184

**PROPOSED BLOCK PLAN - Scale 1:500**




This page is intentionally left blank

REV:	DATE:	AMENDMENTS:	DRAWN:	CHECKED:
#	XX.XX.XX		XX	XX



West Elevation

North Elevation



South Elevation

East Elevation



CLIENT:  
**Mr & Mrs Shinkwin**

PROJECT:  
**Grimms Dyke**

DRAWING:  
**Proposed Elevations**

SCALE: **1:100 @ A3** DWG NO: **2011/P06**

DATE: **April '16** REV: **#**




Grosvenor Court, Winchester Road, Ampfield, Winchester, Hants. SO51 9BD  
Tel: 01794 368 698  
2 Old Bath Road, Newbury, Berks. RG14 1QL  
Tel: 01635 40184

This page is intentionally left blank



REV:	DATE:	AMENDMENTS:	DRAWN:	CHECKED:
#	XX.XX.XX		XX	XX



**PROPOSED FLOOR PLAN - Scale 1:50**


CLIENT:  
**Mr & Mrs Shinkwin**

PROJECT:  
**Grimms Dyke**

DRAWING:  
**Proposed Floor Plan**

SCALE: **1:50 @ A3** DWG NO: **2011/P03**

DATE: **April '16** REV: **#**



**PRO VISION**  
PLANNING & DESIGN

● Grosvenor Court, Winchester Road, Ampfield, Winchester, Hants. SO51 9BD  
Tel: 01794 368 698  
○ 2 Old Bath Road, Newbury, Berks. RG14 1QL  
Tel: 01635 40184

This page is intentionally left blank